Ferris State University Special Academic Senate Meeting

Higher Learning Commission Site Visiting Team

April 19, 2011 West Campus Community Center

Minutes

I. There were no Action Ite	There were no Action Items at the Special Academic Senate meeting.				
Attendance					
Senators attending	Alspach, Berghoef, Boncher, Brandly, Colley, Compton, Cook, Fox, Gillespie, Haneline, Hanna, Heaphy, Isler, Jewett, Joyce, Lashaway-Bokina, Liszewski, Lukusa-Barnett, Luplow, Maike, Marion, Nash, Rewers, Sanderson, Skrocki, Taylor, Thapa, Wagenheim				
Senators absent with cause	Drake, Griffin, Klatt, Nagel				
Senators absent	Abbasabadi, Dakkuri, Daughterty, McNulty, Prakasam, Reynolds, Sun				
Ex Officio and Guests	Erickson, Flickinger, Cron				

Narrative

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m.

President Haneline announced that the last meeting of the 2010-2011 Academic Senate will be April 26 at 10:00 a.m. The 2011-2012 Academic Senate will convene at 11:00 a.m.

President Haneline introduced the two Higher Learning Commission Visiting Team members who would conduct the rest of the meeting: Mary Ellen Edwards, Team Chair (University of Toledo) and Douglas N. McMillan (Southeastern Oklahoma State University).

II.	Ques	estions posed by the Team included the following:			
	A.	Describe the Academic Senate.			
	B.	Describe the curricular process; especially how often Academic Affairs rejects a			
		recommendation from the Academic Senate.			
	C.	Describe the program review process; especially how often a program is recommended for			
		clos	closure.		
		1.	To what degree are program review and program assessment linked?		
		2.	Is a standard set of data used for program review? Explain how TracDat is used.		
		3.	How is the process influenced by the presence of an outside accrediting body?		
		4.	Dr. McMillan shared that the team had observed more development in the assessment of		
			General Education than of individual programs. He noted that this discrepancy is not		
			unusual among HLC-accredited institutions. He also distinguished programs with		
			accrediting bodies as generally more advanced in assessment processes. He took		
			opportunity to advise us that higher education institutions would be feeling more pressure		
			from the federal level to provide more transparency and accountability; hence, the Higher		
			Learning Commission's challenge to raise standards higher.		
III.	Oper	n Forum			
	A.	There was discussion of shared governance at Ferris.			
	B.	Dr. Edwards inquired about the relationship between the Academic Senate and the Ferris			
		Faculty Association.			
	C.	Dr. Edwards inquired about processes for initiating programs outside of the established			
		curricular process, focusing on the Doctorate in Community College Leadership.			

The meeting adjourned at 10:45 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Sandy Alspach Secretary

Douglas Haneline President