Comparison of autokeratometer and radiuscope measurements of base curves of various rigid gas permeable lenses.

Willem R. Bouma

Summary

The Alcon handheld keratometer has proven its use in many clinical settings. This study shows whether or not it can replace a standard radiuscope for the measurement of base curves of hard contact lenses.

Introduction

The radiuscope has traditionally been the gold standard of base curve measurement in many clinical settings (Defazio and Lowther, 1979; Rakow, 1985; Tannehill and Sampson, 1966). Keratometry has traditionally been performed with manual instruments. Numerous studies have proven the effectiveness of autokeratometers, both stand and hand held models, in the measurement of both corneal curvature and on man made surfaces (Pardhan and Douthwaite, 1998; Harvey et al., 1995; Lam, 1995; Douthwaite and Pardhan, 1995, 1997; Tennen et al., 1995; Wassill and Dick, 1995). The purpose of this study is to bridge the gap between these two instruments and test the accuracy of a hand held autokeratometer in comparison to a standard radiuscope.

Materials & Methods

A Reichert Radiuscope and an Alcon hand held autokeratometer were used to measure the base curves of four different fitting sets of trial hard contact lenses. The trial contact lens set were as follows. A set of standard trial lenses (Boston II's) ranging from a marked base curve of 8.20 to 7.40 mm. A set of Toric Base Curve lenses ranging in marked base curves of 8.65/8.03 to 7.85/7.34 mm. A set of low base curve lenses ranging in marked base curve from 6.49 to 5.62 mm. A set of Aspheric Lenses was also included to compare measured values. Marked base curves ranged from 7.20 to 6.70 mm.

Six independent readings of base curve were taken with each instrument and the results averaged and a standard deviation was calculated (Chart #1, #3, #5, and #7). The difference between the two instruments was also calculated for each lens along with a mean difference with its own standard deviation (Chart #2, #4, #6, and #8). Finally, for the non-aspheric lenses (spherical and toric base curves), a total mean difference was calculated with its own standard deviation (Chart #9).

Results

See attached Charts.

Discussion

Discussion will be started with the first three groups of lenses (spherical base curve, toric base curve, and low base curve), followed by the aspheric lens results.

Regular Lenses

The results clearly show that the Alcon Keratometer gives measurements very close to that of the Reichert Radiuscope. Chart #9 gives the best overall reading of the result. The mean difference for all of the lenses was 0.00 mm with a standard deviation of 0.019.

Even the individual sets of lenses showed marked similarity in readings. Only the low base curve lenses showed a slight average difference in readings, although the difference was within the calculated standard deviation for the readings. This may however, raise the question of the accuracy of the Alcon Keratometer for reading base curves further from "normal" values. For example, high or low base curve lenses.

Aspheric Lenses

Not surprisingly, neither instrument was accurate in measuring the marked base curve of aspheric lenses, although both instruments did show very reliable values for their measurements. It would appear that the radiuscope measures aspheric lenses more accurately than the Alcon Keratometer. This does make sense intuitively, as the radiuscope measures a very small area of curvature near the center of the lens where the curvature most closely resembles the marked base curve. The Alcon Keratometer uses a larger lens area, on the other hand, and will therefore get more interference from the flatter periphery of the lens.

Conclusion

The Alcon Keratometer is comparable to the Reichert radiuscope in the measurement of base curves of the majority of hard lenses that will be encountered in a contact lens practice. Neither instrument performs well in the measurement of aspheric lenses, but this is to be expected. In short, if a hand held Alcon Keratometer is present in a practice, it can be used as a quick and easy way to verify base curve of hard contact lenses.

Bibliography

- Defazio, A.J. and Lowther, G.E. Inspection of back surface aspheric contact lenses. Am J Optom Physiol Opt. 1979 Aug; 56 (8): 471-9
- Douthwaite, W. and Pardhan, S. Accuracy and repeatability of the Topcon K-3500 autokeratometer on calibrated convex surfaces. *Cornea*. 1995 May; 14 (3): 253-7
- Douthwaite, W. and Pardhan, S. Comparison of a videokeratoscope and an autokeratometer as predictors of the optimum back surface curves of rigid corneal contact lenses. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt.* 1997 Sep; 17 (5): 409-13
- Harvey, E.M., Miller, J.M., and Dobson, V. Reproducibility of corneal astigmatism measurements with a hand held keratometer in preschool children. Br J Ophthalmol. 1995 Nov; 79 (11): 983-90
- Lam, A.K. A hand-held keratometer. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt. 1995 May; 15 (3): 227-30
- Pardhan, S. and Douthwaite, W.A. Comparison of videokeratoscope and autokeratometer measurements on ellipsoid surfaces and human corneas. J Refract Surg. 1998 Jul-Aug; 14 (4): 414-9
- Rakow, P.L. Using the radiuscope as a contact lense inspection device. J Ophthalmic Nurs Technol. 1985 May-Jun; 4 (3): 33-4
- Tannehill, J.C. and Sampson, W.G. Extended use of the radiuscope in contact-lens inspection. Am J Ophthalmol. 1966 Sep;62 (3): 538-40
- Tennen, D.G., Keates, R.H., and Montoya, C. Comparison of three keratometry instruments. J Cataract Refract Surg. 1995 Jul; 21 (4): 407-8
- Wassill, K.H. and Dick, B. [Clinical experiences with the hand-held keratometer.] Klin Monatsbl Augenheilkd. 1995 Aug; 207 (2): 81-6

Chart comparing the Alcon Keratometer to a Reichert Radiuscope for Spherical lenses (Art Optical Boston II's)

Alcon Keratometer

Marked		Re	ading (m		Mean	Standard		
Base Curve	1	2	3	4	5	6	Reading	Deviation
(mm)							(mm)	(mm)
8.20	8.23	8.23	8.21	8.21	8.23	8.26	8.23	0.0167
8.10	8.10	8.13	8.11	8.11	8.11	8.11	8.11	0.0090
8.00	8.04	8.01	8.04	8.01	8.01	8.01	8.02	0.0141
7.90	7.89	7.89	7.92	7.92	7.90	7.92	7.91	0.0137
7.80	7.81	7.80	7.80	7.83	7.83	7.83	7.82	0.0137
7.70	7.71	7.74	7.71	7.70	7:71	7.74	7.72	0.0157
7.60	7.61	7.61	7.58	7.58	7.61	7.61	7.60	0.0141
7.50	7.50	7.50	7.51	7.52	7.50	7.50	7.51	0.0076
7.40	7.44	7.44	7.44	7.44	7.44	7.44	7.44	0.0000

Marked		Re	ading (m	ım)			Mean	Standard		
Base Curve (mm)	1	2	3	4	5	6	ReadingDeviation (mm) (mm)			
8.20	8.20	8.22	8.22	8.23	8.25	8.24	8.23	0.0160		
8.10	8.11	8.10	8.11	8.12	8.14	8.10	8.11	0.0137		
8.00	8.00	8.00	8.02	8.02	8.00	8.01	8.01	0.0090		
7.90	7.88	7.90	7.90	7.93	7.92	7.90	7.91	0.0161		
7.80	7.83	7.82	7.81	7.82	7.82	7.80	7.82	0.0094		
7.70	7.70	7.69	7.71	7.70	7.71	7.73	7.71	0.0125		
7.60	7.60	7.62	7.62	7.59	7.58	7.60	7.60	0.0146		
7.50	7.50	7.52	7.50	7.53	7.50	7.50	7.51	0.0121		
7.40	7.40	7.43	7.42	7.44	7.45	7.43	7.43	0.0157		

Chart #2 Difference

Alcon Keratometer Reading - Reichert Reading

0.00	Mean Difference
0.00	0.00
0.01	
0.00	Standard Deviation
0.00	0.006316
0.01	
0.00	
0.00	
0.01	

Difference

Alcon Keratometer Reading - Reichert Reading

Α	В		
	0.01	-0.04	Mean Difference
	0.00	0.02	A B
	0.00	-0.03	0.00 0.00
	-0.01	0.01	
	-0.01	-0.01	Standard Deviation
	-0.02	0.04	A B
	0.00	0.01	0.016451 0.032933
	0.02	0.03	•
	-0.03	-0.06	

Chart #6 Difference Alcon Keratometer Reading - Reichert Reading

-0.03	Mean Difference
0.00	-0.01
-0.01	
0.00	Standard Deviation
0.01	0.011785
-0.01	
-0.01	
-0.01	
-0.03	

Chart #8 Difference Alcon Keratometer Reading - Reichert Reading

0.11	Mean Difference
0.16	0.15
0.15	
0.14	Standard Deviation
0.18	0.026445
0.13	

Total Standard Deviation 0.019449 Total Mean Difference 0.00

5.82	5.80	5.82	5.82	5.84	5.82	5.80	5.82	0.0137
5.72	5.66	5.67	5.69	5.68	5.68	5.71	5.68	0.0157
5.62	5.60	5.60	5.62	5.63	5.64	5.60	5.62	0.0161

Chart comparing the Alcon Keratometer to a Reichert Radiuscope for Aspheric Lenses

Alcon Keratometer

Marked		Re	ading (m	nm)			Mean	Standard
Base Curve (mm)	1	2	3	4	5	6	Reading (mm)	Deviation (mm)
7.20	7.44	7.46	7.44	7.44	7.44	7.44	7.44	0.0075
7.09	7.24	7.27	7.30	7.26	7.30	7.27	7.27	0.0213
7.01	7.20	7.20	7.20	7.20	7.16	7.16	7.19	0.0189
6.90	7.12	7.12	7.12	7.14	7.13	7.15	7.13	0.0115
6.80	7.01	7.03	7.01	6.99	7.01	7.01	7.01	0.0115
6.70	6.84	6.86	6.85	6.84	6.85	6.85	6.85	0.0069

Marked		Re	ading (m	nm)			Mean	Standard	
Base Curve (mm)	1	2	3	4	5	6	Reading (mm)	Deviation (mm)	
7.20	7.32	7.34	7.34	7.36	7.32	7.33	7.34	0.0138	
7.09	7.12	7.09	7.10	7.14	7.11	7.10	7.11	0.0163	
7.01	7.04	7.02	7.05	7.03	7.02	7.04	7.03	0.0111	
6.90	7.00	6.99	7.00	6.98	6.98	6.98	6.99	0.0090	
6.80	6.81	6.82	6.83	6.84	6.83	6.83	6.83	0.0094	
6.70	6.72	6.73	6.71	6.74	6.69	6.73	6.72	0.0163	

8.03	7.50	8.06	7.48	8.03	7.49	8.03	7.50	8.05	7.50	8.05	7.52	8.06	7.53	8.05	7.50	0.0137 0.0186
7.94	7.42	7.96	7.43	7.98	7.45	7.94	7.36	7.92	7.44	7.94	7.48	7.97	7.42	7.95	7.43	0.0223 0.0400
7.85	7.34	7.86	7.40	7.84	7.40	7.86	7.40	7.84	7.38	7.88	7.38	7.78	7.36	7.84	7.39	0.0344 0.0163

Chart comparing the Alcon Keratometer to a Reichert Radiuscope for Low Base Curve Spherical lenses

Alcon Keratometer

Marked		Re	ading (m		Mean Standard			
Base Curve	1	2	3	4	5	6	Reading	Deviation
(mm)							(mm)	(mm)
6.49	6.46	6.47	6.47	6.46	6.46	6.46	6.46	0.0047
6.37	6.37	6.38	6.37	6.38	6.37	6.37	6.37	0.0047
6.37	6.37	6.37	6.38	6.37	6.37	6.36	6.37	0.0058
6.14	6.15	6.15	6.15	6.15	6.15	6.15	6.15	0.0000
6.03	6.03	6.04	6.04	6.04	6.04	6.04	6.04	0.0037
5.92	5.91	5.91	5.91	5.91	5.91	5.91	5.91	0.0000
5.82	5.80	5.80	5.81	5.81	5.81	5.80	5.81	0.0050
5.72	5.67	5.67	5.68	5.67	5.67	5.67	5.67	0.0037
5.62	5.60	5.58	5.58	5.59	5.58	5.59	5.59	0.0075

Marked		Re	ading (m	Mean Standard					
Base Curve (mm)	1	2	3	4	5	6	ReadingDeviation (mm) (mm)		
6.49	6.48	6.50	6.49	6.50	6.49	6.49	6.49	0.0069	
6.37	6.37	6.37	6.38	6.36	6.39	6.37	6.37	0.0094	
6.37	6.36	6.38	6.40	6.38	6.38	6.38	6.38	0.0115	
6.14	6.17	6.17	6.15	6.14	6.15	6.14	6.15	0.0125	
6.03	6.02	6.06	6.03	6.04	6.03	6.01	6.03	0.0157	
5.92	5.92	5.92	5.94	5.91	5.93	5.93	5.93	0.0096	

Chart comparing the Alcon Keratometer to a Reichert Radiuscope for Toric Base Curve RGP's

Alcon Keratometer

Marked	Reading (mm)													Mean		Standard	
Base Curve (mm)		1		2		- 3		4		5		6		Reading (mm)		Deviation (mm)	
A	В	Α	В	A	в	А	в	А	в	A	В	A	В	A	В	A	в
8.65	8.03	8.65	8.04	8.65	8.04	8.65	8.04	8.63	8.04	8.65	8.01	8.65	8.04	8.65	8.04	0.0082	0.0122
8.54	7.94	8.57	7.94	8.60	7.94	8.57	7.96	8.63	7.94	8.60	7.94	8.60	7.94	8.60	7.94	0.0226	0.0082
8.43	7.85	8.49	7.83	8.49	7.83	8.46	7.85	8.44	7.89	8.46	7.87	8.49	7.85	8.47	7.85	0.0214	0.0234
8.33	7.76	8.36	7.78	8.41	7.85	8.39	7.80	8.39	7.78	8.39	7.80	8.41	7.76	8.39	7.80	0.0183	0.0308
8.23	7.67	8.28	7.63	8.28	7.65	8.28	7.65	8.28	7.65	8.28	7.65	8.26	7.65	8.28	7.65	0.0082	0.0082
8.13	7.58	8.18	7.61	8.18	7.61	8.18	7.58	8.18	7.61	8.16	7.61	8.16	7.58	8.17	7.60	0.0103	0.0155
8.03	7.50	8.06	7.52	8.04	7.50	8.06	7.52	8.04	7.52	8.06	7.52	8.04	7.52	8.05	7.52	0.0110	0.0082
7.94	7.42	7.99	7.40	7.94	7.46	7.96	7.46	7.96	7.48	7.96	7.48	7.96	7.46	7.96	7.46	0.0160	0.0294
7.85	7.34	7.89	7.34	7.92	7.32	7.89	7.34	7.89	7.30	7.92	7.32	7.80	7.34	7.89	7.33	0.0442	0.0163

Marked					Re	ading (m	nm)					Mean		Standard			
Base Curve (mm)		1		2		3		. 4		5		6		Reading (mm)		Deviation (mm)	
A	В	A	в	Α	в	A	В	A	В	Α	в	А	В	Α	в	A	В
8.65	8.03	8.66	8.04	8.66	8.05	8.58	8.08	8.64	8.08	8.62	8.09	8.65	8.09	8.64	8.07	0.0308	0.0214
8.54	7.94	8.55	7.92	8.54	7,90	8.58	7.97	8.55	7.91	8.55	7.91	8.59	7.94	8.56	7.93	0.0200	0.0259
8.43	7.85	8.44	7.86	8.42	7.86	8.41	7.90	8.40	7.91	8.45	7.89	8.43	7.87	8.43	7.88	0.0187	0.0214
8.33	7.76	8.37	7.82	8.39	7.75	8.39	7.79	8.35	7.80	8.37	7.75	8.38	7.78	8.38	7.78	0.0152	0.0279
8.23	7.67	8.24	7.68	8.24	7.66	8.28	7.60	8.26	7.68	8.23	7.68	8.23	7.65	8.25	7.66	0.0197	0.0313
8.13	7.58	8.20	7.59	8.20	7.54	8.17	7.59	8.15	7.58	8.15	7.54	8.22	7.53	8.18	7.56	0.0293	0.0279